EXHIBIT 84

1	Elizabeth Cabraser (Cal. Bar No. 83151)	Eric H. Gibbs (State Bar No. 178658)	
	Lexi J. Hazam (Cal. Bar No. 224457)	Dylan Hughes (State Bar No. 209113)	
2	Sarah R. London (Cal. Bar No. 267083) Tiseme Zegeye (Cal. Bar No. 319927)	Steven M. Tindall (State Bar No. 187862) Amy M. Zeman (State Bar No. 273100)	
3	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &	GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP	
4	BERNSTEIN 275 Battery Street, Fl. 29	505 14th Street, Suite 1110 Oakland, CA 94162	
7	San Francisco, CA 94111	Tel: (510) 350-9700	
5	Telephone: (415) 956-1000	Fax: (510) 350-9701	
6	Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 slondon@lchb.com	ehg@classlawgroup.com dsh@classlawgroup.com	
O	Siondon@icho.com	smt@classlawgroup.com	
7	Dena C. Sharp (State Bar No. 245869)	amz@classlawgroup.com	
0	Jordan Elias (State Bar No. 228731)	A.L., D. W.16 (C.1. D., N. 215014)	
8	Adam E. Polk (State Bar No. 273000) GIRARD SHARP LLP	Adam B. Wolf (Cal. Bar No. 215914) Tracey B. Cowan (Cal. Bar No. 250053)	
9	601 California Street, 14th Floor	PEIFFER WOLF CARR & KANE, PLC	
4.0	San Francisco, CA 94108	4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400	
10	Tel: (415) 981-4800 Fax: (415) 981-4846	San Francisco, CA 94111	
11	dsharp@girardsharp.com	Telephone: (415) 766-3545 Facsimile: (415) 402-0058	
	jelias@girardsharp.com	awolf@pwcklegal.com	
12	apolk@girardsharp.com	tcowan@pwcklegal.com	
13			
	Counsel for Plaintiffs and Interim Class Co	unsel	
14			
15			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION	
18			
19		Case No. 3:18-cv-01586-JSC	
20	IN RE PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER	PLAINTIFF I.J.'S RESPONSES TO	
	LITIGATION	DEFENDANT CHART INC.'S	
21		INTERROGATORIES	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	II		

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2 Plaintiff I.J. ("Plaintiff") hereby objects and responds to Chart Inc.'s ("Chart") 3 Interrogatories. All responses to the following Interrogatories are based on information currently 4 known to Plaintiff and are provided without prejudice to Plaintiff's right to submit evidence of 5 any subsequently discovered facts and information, should such become known. Plaintiff 6 anticipates that as investigation and trial preparation continue, it is possible that additional facts 7 may become known, which may in turn warrant additions to or changes in the responses provided 8 herein. These responses are made in a good faith effort to supply such information as is presently 9 known to Plaintiff. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a 10 waiver of, Plaintiff's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial. Plaintiff reserves her right 11 to supplement these responses and objections at an appropriate time before trial, as Plaintiff 12 discovers additional facts relevant to her claims. 13 14 an admission of any fact set forth or assumed by that Interrogatory. In addition, each of 15

Plaintiff's responses or objections to any Interrogatory or part of an Interrogatory are not an admission of any fact set forth or assumed by that Interrogatory. In addition, each of Plaintiff's responses to an Interrogatory or part of an Interrogatory is not a waiver of part or all of any objection she might make to that Interrogatory, or an admission that such answer or objection constitutes admissible evidence.

This preliminary statement is incorporated into each response by this reference.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following objections apply to the entire set of responses. These general objections are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in each response to each individual Interrogatory listed below:

1. Plaintiff objects to the Interrogatories insofar as they purport to require disclosure of communications and information that are shielded from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine.

28

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Plaintiff responds: , 1978.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Identify all Providers from whom You have sought treatment for obstetrics, gynecology, or reproductive services during the last 10 years.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it purports to require the disclosure of information subject to a claim of privilege or protection, including, without limitation, information protected by based on the attorney-client relationship, physician-patient relationship, marital relationship, attorney work product, or litigation materials (Objection on the ground of "Privilege.") Plaintiff also objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for information protected by Plaintiff's privacy rights, or the privacy rights of others, or any other lawfully recognized privilege or immunity from disclosure that may attach to information requested by the interrogatory. (Objection on the ground of "Privacy.") Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it purports to impose any duty upon Plaintiff that is inconsistent with, or beyond that required by, the rules of this Court and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Objection on the ground of "Scope.") Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is not relevant to this action and not "proportional to the needs of the case" under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1). (Objection on the ground of "Relevance.") Plaintiff further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information for the illegitimate purposes of harassing, annoying, or embarrassing Plaintiff. (Objection on the ground of "Harassment.") Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds that, in or around November 2012, she sought fertility services from Pacific Fertility Center and its doctors.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Identify any diagnosis of infertility or other medical diagnosis that You understand could impact Your fertility or ability to give birth to a child in any way, including the date of such diagnosis and the name of the Provider(s) from whom You received such diagnosis.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, and Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony and information that may not be within Plaintiff's personal knowledge. Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds to the best of her understanding Plaintiff is unaware of any particular medical diagnosis that she may have received. She understands from her providers at PFC that her age could impact her fertility.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Identify all Fertility-Related Services that You have received, including, but not limited to, a description of such services, the dates on which You received such services, and the names of the Providers from whom You received such services.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds that she received the following fertility services from Pacific Fertility Center in or around February 2013: egg retrieval, egg freezing, and egg storage services.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

For any Fertility-Related Services identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4, identify any psychological or emotional distress that You experienced in connection with such services.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony. Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds that she experienced the following psychological and emotional distress in connection with the Fertility-Related Services identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4: suffering, anguish, fright, horror, nervousness, grief, anxiety, worry, shock, humiliation, and shame.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Identify any treatment, medical or otherwise, that You have received for any

psychological or emotional distress that You experienced in connection with Fertility-Related Services identified in Interrogatory No. 4, including, but not limited to, the type of treatment, the dates of such treatment, the names of the Providers from whom You received such treatment, the name of any medications that You were prescribed in connection with such treatment, and the outcome of such treatment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony.

Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds Plaintiff consulted self-help books but did not seek professional assistance.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Identify the total number of pregnancies that You have had, including the date of each pregnancy, whether the pregnancy occurred without Assisted Reproductive Technology, and the outcome of each pregnancy, including, but not limited to, whether the pregnancy resulted in a miscarriage or a live birth.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment, and particularly to the extent this question calls for information related to unplanned pregnancies terminated for elective reasons. Plaintiff also objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony, is vague as to what "pregnancy" means (i.e. clinical, biochemical, or both), vague as to "date of each pregnancy", and calls for information that may not be within Plaintiff's personal knowledge (as often women are unaware of pregnancies that result in early miscarriages). Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds that to the best of her understanding, she had the following pregnancies using assisted reproductive technology: Zero. Plaintiff further responds that to the best of her understanding, she had the following pregnancies without using assisted reproductive technology through the present, resulting in either a miscarriage or live birth:

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	

28

Date of pregnancy (approx)	Miscarriage or Live Birth
May of 2016	Live Birth on January 27,
	2017
February of 2018	Miscarriage
August of 2018	Live Birth on May 24, 2019

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

For any pregnancy identified in Interrogatory No. 7 that did not result in a live birth, identify what You understand was the reason(s) for the pregnancy not resulting in a live birth and the basis for that understanding, including, but not limited to, the name of any Provider on whom You base Your understanding.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff also objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony and for information not within Plaintiff's personal knowledge or understanding.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Identify all reasons why You sought services at PFC.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the ground of Vagueness because the term "reasons" is vague or otherwise incomprehensible. Subject to the foregoing objections, Plaintiff responds that Plaintiff sought services at PFC to preserve her ability to have additional children.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Identify the total number of children that You currently have, their ages, and whether any are Your biological children (i.e., conceived from Your own eggs or sperm).

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds Plaintiff has two biological children, aged five months and two years and nine months.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

With regard to any child identified in response to Interrogatory No. 10, state (i) whether that child was conceived with Assisted Reproductive Technology, and (ii) whether that child shares any genetic parentage with the Biological Material that You understand to have been stored in Tank 4 on March 4, 2018, or in any other location, and, if so, which genetic parent or parents are in common.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds neither child was conceived with Assisted Reproductive Technology and neither child was conceived with the eggs stored in Tank 4 on March 4, 2018.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Identify all of the Biological Material that You have cryopreserved at any time, whether at PFC or at another facility, the location(s) at which such Biological Material was cryogenically stored, the type and quantity of Biological Material stored at each location, and the dates on which the Biological Material was stored at each location.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Subject to these objections, and to the best of her understanding, Plaintiff responds Plaintiff stored approximately 17 eggs at PFC in San Francisco, California in or around February 2013.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

With regard to the Biological Material identified in Your response to Interrogatory No. 12, identify all attempts that You made to use such cryopreserved Biological Material to initiate a pregnancy and the dates of such attempts.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Subject to these objections, with respect to Biological Material stored at PFC,

1 Plaintiff responds: None. 2 **INTERROGATORY NO. 14:** 3 Describe the outcome of all of the attempts to use Your Biological Material identified in 4 Interrogatory No. 13, including, but not limited to, whether such attempt resulted in a pregnancy, 5 miscarriage, or a live birth. 6 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:** 7 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and 8 Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that the term "pregnancy" is vague. Subject to these 9 objections, Plaintiff responds: None. 10 **INTERROGATORY NO. 15:** 11 For any attempt to use Your Biological Material identified in Interrogatory No. 13 that did 12 not result in a live birth, identify what You understand was the reason(s) for that result and the 13 basis for Your understanding, including, but not limited to, the name of any Provider on whom 14 You base Your understanding. 15 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:** 16 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and 17 Harassment. Plaintiff also objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony and for 18 information not within Plaintiff's personal knowledge or understanding. Subject to these 19 objections, Plaintiff responds: Not applicable. 20 **INTERROGATORY NO. 16:** 21 State whether You have ever donated or discarded, or directed anyone to donate or 22 discard, any cryogenically stored Biological Material. 23 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:** 24 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and 25 Harassment. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds that as to Biological Material stored 26 at PFC: No.

Describe any emotional distress that You claim to have experienced as a result of the

27

28

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Incident.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege and Privacy. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds that she experienced the following psychological and emotional distress as a result of the Incident: suffering, anguish, fright, horror, nervousness, grief, anxiety, worry, shock, humiliation, and shame.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Identify any treatment, medical or otherwise, that You have received for any psychological or emotional distress that You claim to have experienced as a result of the Incident, including, but not limited to, the type of treatment, the dates of such treatment, the names of the Providers from whom You received such treatment, the name of any medications that You were prescribed in connection with such treatment, and the outcome of such treatment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege and Privacy. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds: None.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Identify any medical diagnoses that You have received in connection with any psychological or emotional distress that You claim to have experienced as a result of the Incident, including, but not limited to, the date of such diagnoses, the name of the Provider(s) who made such diagnoses, and the name of any medications that You were prescribed in connection with such diagnoses.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege and Privacy. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds: None.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

With regard to any Biological Material that You allege to have been stored in Tank 4 on March 4, 2018, identify all payments made for such storage, including the dates of payment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the information sought is available from other parties and/or is not within Plaintiff's possession, custody, or control. (Objection on the ground of "Custody."). Plaintiff responds she paid approximately \$600 per year for storage from about February 2013 through February 2017.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Identify the total amount of expenses that You have incurred for any Fertility-Related Services identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4, including the dates on which you incurred such expenses.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Custody. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds: approximately \$17,000 in or around February 2013.

INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Describe any damages to which You allege You are entitled based upon the claims alleged in the Action, including a detailed computation of all components of those damages.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Plaintiff responds Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the class, seek compensatory and punitive damages subject to proof. Plaintiffs' calculation of damages will depend on information to be received from Defendant in discovery, as well as expert testimonly. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the class, also seek injunctive relief in the form of a preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants.

PLAINTIFF I.J.'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT

DocuSign Envelope IE	D: @DF\$4357-948-5-47-93-175568-95-57-22-177388:ui	ment 672-13 Filed 01/29/21 Page 13 of 15
		Salv
1	Dated: 11-04-2019	Ву:
2		Sarah R. London
3		Elizabeth J. Cabraser (State Bar No. 083151) Lexi J. Hazam (State Bar No. 224457)
4		Sarah R. London (State Bar No. 267083) Tiseme G. Zegeye (State Bar No. 319927)
5		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
6		275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
7		Tel: (415) 956-1000 Fax: (415) 956-1008
8		ecabraser@lchb.com lhazam@lchb.com
9		slondon@lchb.com tzegeye@lchb.com
10		Adam B. Wolf (State Bar No. 215914)
11		Tracey B. Cowan (State Bar No. 250053) PEIFFER WOLF CARR & KANE, A
12		PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400
13		San Francisco, CA 94111Tel: (415) 766-3545
14		Fax: (415) 402-0058 awolf@pwcklegal.com
15		tcowan@pwcklegal.com
16		Dena C. Sharp (State Bar No. 245869) Jordan Elias (State Bar No. 228731)
17		Adam E. Polk (State Bar No. 273000)
18		GIRARD SHARP LLP 601 California Street, 14th Floor
19		San Francisco, CA 94108 Tel: (415) 981-4800
20		Fax: (415) 981-4846 dsharp@girardsharp.com
21		jelias@girardsharp.com
22		apolk@girardsharp.com
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 Eric H. Gibbs (State Bar No. 178658) Dylan Hughes (State Bar No. 209113) 2 Steven M. Tindall (State Bar No. 187862) Amy M. Zeman (State Bar No. 273100) 3 GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP 505 14th Street, Suite 1110 4 Oakland, CA 94162 5 Tel: (510) 350-9700 Fax: (510) 350-9701 6 ehg@classlawgroup.com dsh@classlawgroup.com 7 smt@classlawgroup.com amz@classlawgroup.com 8 9 Counsel for Plaintiffs and Interim Class Counsel 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

VERIFICATION I, I.J., verify subject to the penalty of perjury that the foregoing Objections and Responses to Defendant Chart's Interrogatories are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. DocuSigned by: Dated: 11/4/19 By: E8B28D0BB4B5439... I.J. HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY